UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,558	02/09/2004	Leonard L. Diaddario JR.	PVOZ 2 00016	8970	
	7590 12/21/2006 , FAGAN, MINNICH & l	MCKEE, LLP	EXAMINER		
1100 SUPERIO	R AVENUE, SEVENTH				
CLEVELAND,	OH 44114		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1753		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	JTHC	12/21/2006	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			L	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/774,558	DIADDARIO, LEON	DIADDARIO, LEONARD L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Edna Wong	1753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		merits is	
Disposition of Claims	·			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	·		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable and the specific and the sp	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in a cority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National S	tage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) This is in response to the Amendment dated November 3, 2006. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments

Claim Objections

Claims 12-13 and 18-19 have been objected to because of minor informalities.

The objection of claims 12-13 and 18-19 has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims **1-20** have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is as applied in the Office Action dated August 29, 2006 and incorporated herein. The rejection has been maintained for the following reasons:

Applicants state that it is the Applicants' position that a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the meaning of "n" as presented in claims 1 and 4-8.

In response, the meaning of "n" in the claims, as presently written, is any positive integer. This cannot be because Applicant discloses that the Xⁿ⁻ is selected from the

group consisting of chloride, bromide, fluoride, sulfate, acetate, and tetrafluoroborate (present claims 2 and 10).

Thus, at the time the invention was made, n would have only had a definition of 1 or 2. There is no reasonable clarity from Applicant's specification that n would have had a definition of any positive integer, for example, 3, 4 or 6.

Response to Amendment

Declaration

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed November 3, 2006 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-20 based upon an insufficiency of disclosure under 35 USC 112 as set forth in the last Office action because: the showing is not commensurate in scope with the specification.

Applicants state that it is the Applicants' position that a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand the meaning of "n" as presented in claims 1 and 4-8.

In response, the meaning of "n" in the claims, as presently written, is any positive integer. This cannot be because Applicant discloses that the Xⁿ- is selected from the group consisting of chloride, bromide, fluoride, sulfate, acetate, and tetrafluoroborate (present claims 2 and 10).

Thus, at the time the invention was made, n would have only had a definition of 1 or 2. There is no reasonable clarity from Applicant's specification that n would have had a definition of any positive integer, for example, 3, 4 or 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,558

Art Unit: 1753

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 4

I. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply

with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which

was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 21

line 9, recites "n comprises the positive integer of n-".

Applicant discloses that the Xⁿ⁻ is selected from the group consisting of chloride,

bromide, fluoride, sulfate, acetate, and tetrafluoroborate (present claims 2 and 10).

Thus, at the time the invention was made, n would have only had a definition of

1 or 2. There is no reasonable clarity from Applicant's specification that n would have

had a definition of any positive integer, for example, 3, 4 or 6.

II. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the

specification, while being enabling for n = 1 or 2, does not reasonably provide

enablement for n = any positive integer. The specification does not enable any person

skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to the

invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claim 21

line 9, recites "n comprises the positive integer of n-".

Applicant discloses that the Xⁿ is selected from the group consisting of chloride, bromide, fluoride, sulfate, acetate, and tetrafluoroborate (present claims 2 and 10).

Thus, at the time the invention was made, n would have only had a definition of 1 or 2. There is no reasonable clarity from Applicant's specification that n would have had a definition of any positive integer, for example, 3, 4 or 6.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1-3, 11 and 18-20 define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for the electrodeposition of a nickel or nickel-alloy coating on a substrate, the process comprising the steps of immersing and electrodepositing as presently claimed, esp., wherein the bath comprises an additive having the general formula:

$$[H_2C=CHCH_2N^{\dagger}R_1R_2R_3]_nX^{n-}$$

wherein R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are selected from the functional groups consisting of hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, propanediol and combinations thereof; and X^{n-} is an n-valent inorganic or organic anion.

Claims **4, 10 and 12-17** define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest an aqueous acidic plating bath for the electrodeposition of a

Application/Control Number: 10/774,558

Page 6

Art Unit: 1753

nickel or nickel alloy deposit on a substrate comprising: (a) nickel ions and (b) an additive having the general formula:

$$[H_2C=CHCH_2N^{\dagger}R_1R_2R_3]_nX^{n-1}$$

wherein R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are selected from the functional groups consisting of hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, propanediol and combinations thereof; and X^{n-} is an n-valent inorganic or organic anion.

Claim 5 defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest an aqueous acidic plating bath for the electrodeposition of a nickel or nickel alloy deposit on a substrate comprising: (a) nickel ions, (b) at least one Class I brightener, and (c) an additive having the general formula:

$$[H_2C\overset{.}{=}CHCH_2N^{+}R_1R_2R_3]_nX^{n-}$$

wherein R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are selected from the functional groups consisting of hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, propanediol and combinations thereof; and X^{n-} is an n-valent inorganic or organic anion.

Claim 6 defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest an aqueous acidic plating bath for the electrodeposition of a nickel or nickel alloy deposit on a substrate comprising: (a) nickel ions, (b) at least one Class II brightener, and (c) an additive having the general formula:

Application/Control Number: 10/774,558 Page 7

Art Unit: 1753

$$[H_2C=CHCH_2N^{\dagger}R_1R_2R_3]_nX^{n-1}$$

wherein R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are selected from the functional groups consisting of hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, propanediol and combinations thereof; and X^{n-} is an n-valent inorganic or organic anion.

Claim 7 defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest an aqueous acidic plating bath for the electrodeposition of a nickel or nickel alloy deposit on a substrate comprising: (a) nickel ions, (b) at least one Class I brightener, (c) at least one Class II brightener, and (d) an additive having the general formula:

$$H_2C=CHCH_2NR_1R_2$$
 or

$$[H_2C=CHCH_2N^{\dagger}R_1R_2R_3]_nX^{n-1}$$

wherein R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are selected from the functional groups consisting of hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, propanediol and combinations thereof; and X^{n-} is an n-valent inorganic or organic anion.

Claims 8 and 9 define over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest an aqueous acidic plating bath for the electrodeposition of a nickel or nickel alloy deposit on a substrate comprising: (a) nickel ions, (b) alloying metal ions, (c) at least one Class I brightener, (d) at least one Class II brightener, and (e) an additive having the general formula:

$$H_2C=CHCH_2NR_1R_2$$
 or

$$[H_2C=CHCH_2N^{\dagger}R_1R_2R_3]_nX^{n-1}$$

Application/Control Number: 10/774,558 Page 8

Art Unit: 1753

wherein R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are selected from the functional groups consisting of hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, propanediol and combinations thereof; and X^{n-} is an n-valent inorganic or organic anion.

Claim 21 defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for the electrodeposition of a nickel or nickel-alloy coating on a substrate, the process comprising the steps of immersing and electrodepositing as presently claimed, esp., wherein the bath comprises an additive having the general formula:

$$[H_2C = CHCH_2N^{\dagger}R_1R_2R_3]_nX^{n-}$$

wherein R_1 , R_2 and R_3 are selected from the functional groups consisting of hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, propanediol and combinations thereof; and X^{n-} is an n-valent inorganic or organic anion and n comprises the positive integer of n-.

Claim 22 defines over the prior art of record because the prior art does not teach or suggest a process for the electrodeposition of a nickel or nickel-alloy coating on a substrate, the process comprising the steps of immersing and electrodepositing as presently claimed, esp., wherein the bath comprises an additive having the general formula:

$$[H_2C=CHCH_2N^{\dagger}R_1R_2R_3]_nX^{n-1}$$

wherein R₁, R₂ and R₃ are selected from the functional groups consisting of

Application/Control Number: 10/774,558

Art Unit: 1753

hydrogen, methyl, ethyl, propyl, allyl, propanediol and combinations thereof; and Xⁿ is an n-valent inorganic or organic anion and n equals 1 or 2.

The prior art does not contain any language that teaches or suggests the above.

Ostrow teaches diallyl propargyl amine and triallyl propargyl amine. Therefore, a person skilled in the art would not have been motivated to adopt the above conditions, and a prima facie case of obviousness cannot be established.

Claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claim 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edna Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 1753

Edna Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

EW

December 17, 2006